

00000043550

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
New York, New York

In the Matter of

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)

Respondents in Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is hereby order that it be:  
 GRANTED  DENIED because:

- DHS does not oppose the motion.
- A response to the motion has not been filed with the court.
- Good cause has been established for the motion.
- The court agrees with the reasons stated in the opposition to the motion.
- The motion is untimely per \_\_\_\_\_.
- Other:

So ordered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of 7/6/2022, 202\_\_\_\_

JOHN BURNS Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS  
Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04'00'

The Honorable John Burns

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [E] DHS

Date: 7/11/22

By: JK

00000043551

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
New York, New York

In the Matter of

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)

Respondents in Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is hereby order that it be:  
 GRANTED  DENIED because:

- DHS does not oppose the motion.
- A response to the motion has not been filed with the court.
- Good cause has been established for the motion.
- The court agrees with the reasons stated in the opposition to the motion.
- The motion is untimely per \_\_\_\_\_.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

So ordered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of 7/6/2022, 202\_\_\_\_\_

**JOHN BURNS** Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS  
Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04'00'

The Honorable John Burns

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [E] DHS

Date: 7/11/22

By: JK

00000043552

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
New York, New York

In the Matter of

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)

Respondents in Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is hereby order that it be:  
 GRANTED  DENIED because:

- DHS does not oppose the motion.
- A response to the motion has not been filed with the court.
- Good cause has been established for the motion.
- The court agrees with the reasons stated in the opposition to the motion.
- The motion is untimely per \_\_\_\_\_.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

So ordered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of 7/6/2022, 202\_\_\_\_\_

**JOHN BURNS** Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS  
Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04'00'

The Honorable John Burns

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [E] DHS

Date: 7/11/22

By: JK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

File Nos. A1 (b)(6)  
A1 (b)(6)  
A1 (b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**


Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

**GRANTED.**

**DENIED** because: \_\_\_\_\_

6/15/22

Date

  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by:  **Mail**;  **Personal Service**;  **Other**: \_\_\_\_\_

To:  **Alien**;  **Alien c/o Custodial Officer**;  **Alien's Atty/Rep.**;  **DHS**

Date: 6/15/22

By: BC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

File Nos.

A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings


**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

**GRANTED.**

**DENIED** because: \_\_\_\_\_

6/15/22  
Date

  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by:  Mail;  Personal Service;  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To:  Alien;  Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 6/15/22

By: BC



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Reasonable Fear Case Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

05/23/2022

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's REVIEW OF REASONABLE FEAR HEARING.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear.

The immigration court finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**Order:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 05/23/2022

Appeal: Department of Homeland Security 00000043555  waived  reserved  
Respondent:  waived  reserved

Appeal Due:

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Gillis, Brittney , Court Staff

Date: 05/24/2022



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/29/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

00000043556

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/29/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 11/30/2022 By:Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/21/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

- This is a summary of the oral decision entered on 11/21/2022. This memorandum is solely for the convenience of the parties. The oral or written Findings, Decision, and Orders is the official opinion in this case.
- Both parties waived the issuance of a formal oral decision in this proceeding.

#### I. Removability

The court found Respondent  removable  inadmissible under the following Section(s) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA): 212(a) (6) (A) (i)

#### II. Applications for Relief

Respondent's application for:

A. Asylum/Withholding/Convention Against Torture

Asylum was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

Withholding of Removal under the INA was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

Withholding of Removal under the Convention Against Torture was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum after notice of the consequences.

B. Cancellation of Removal

Cancellation of Removal for Lawful Permanent Residents under INA § 240A(a) was  
 granted  denied  withdrawn.

Cancellation of Removal for Nonpermanent Residents under INA § 240A(b)(1) was

granted  denied  withdrawn.

Special Rule Cancellation of Removal under <sup>00000043657</sup> INA § 240A(b)(2) was  
 granted  denied  withdrawn.

**C. Waiver**

A waiver under INA § [REDACTED] was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

**D. Adjustment of Status**

Adjustment of Status under INA § 245(H) was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

**E. Other**

**III. Voluntary Departure**

Respondent's application for  pre-conclusion  post-conclusion voluntary departure was  
 denied  granted

Further information regarding voluntary departure is attached.

Respondent shall depart the United States without expense to the Government by

[REDACTED]

Respondent shall post a departure bond in the amount of [REDACTED] with the DHS on or before

[REDACTED]

**IV. Removal**

Respondent was ordered removed to

In the alternative, Respondent was ordered removed to

**V. Other**

Proceedings were  dismissed  terminated  administratively closed.

Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for failure to appear as ordered.

Respondent's status was rescinded under INA § 246.

Other:

John Burns  
00000043557

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/21/2022

Appeal: Department of Homeland Security:  waived  reserved  
Respondent:  waived  reserved

Appeal Due:

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 11/21/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

PEREZ-GARCIA, JOSE LUIS  
3 JOHN ST  
OSSINING, NY 10562

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

J  
John  
00000043558

B  
Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/02/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

00000043559

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6) (b)(6)

Date: 11/02/2022 By: J. Zhang , Court Staff

00000043560

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
New York, New York

In the Matter of

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)  
A (b)(6)

Respondents in Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is hereby order that it be:

GRANTED  DENIED because:

- DHS does not oppose the motion.
- A response to the motion has not been filed with the court.
- Good cause has been established for the motion.
- The court agrees with the reasons stated in the opposition to the motion.
- The motion is untimely per \_\_\_\_\_.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

So ordered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of 7/6/2022, 202\_\_\_\_

JOHN BURNS Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS  
Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04'00'

The Honorable John Burns

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [E] DHS

Date: 7/11/22

By: JK



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/12/2022

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

based upon joint motion made on the record on 9/12/2022

**Order:** case is Dismissed Without Prejudice

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/12/2022

Appeal: Department of Homeland Security:  waived  reserved

Respondent:  waived  reserved

Appeal Due:

**Certificate of Service**  
0000004356

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 10/07/2022

By: Lee, Sangyoona , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043562  
J B VV Ls

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/13/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK (290 Broadway)

IN THE MATTER OF \_\_\_\_\_ )  
{A} (b)(6) \_\_\_\_\_ )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(b)(6) \_\_\_\_\_ )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RESPONDENT )  
NON- DETAINED )

## ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

[] GRANTED.

[ ] DENIED because: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date

6/17/02

Honorable J. Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [X] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [X] DHS

Date: 6/7/22

By: BG

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK (BROADWAY)

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)


**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **U.S Department of Homeland Security and the Respondent's Unopposed Motion to Dismiss without prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

[  ] GRANTED

[  ] DENIED, because:

6/22/22  
Date

  
Immigration Judge

  
John Burns

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [  ] Alien; [  ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [  ] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [  ] DHS

Date: 6/22/22

By: BCJ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK (290 BROADWAY)

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

File No. A (b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings


**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

GRANTED.

DENIED because: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5/26/22  
Date

  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 5/26/22

By: BG



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/10/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J B  
00006043566

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/10/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) || A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 11/10/2022 By:Friedman, Michael , Court Staff

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

NON-DETAINED

File No:

A

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

GRANTED.

DENIED because: \_\_\_\_\_

7/1/22  
Date

John Burns  
BURNS, JOHN  
Immigration Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This document was served by:  M Mail;  P Personal Service;  E Other: E Service

To:  Alien;  Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 7/20/22

By: JK



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

07/20/2022

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Based upon joint motion

**Order:** case is Dismissed Without Prejudice

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 07/20/2022

Appeal: Department of Homeland Security:  waived  reserved  
Respondent:  waived  reserved

Appeal Due:

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Williams, Antoinette , Court Staff

Date: 07/21/2022



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

01/06/2023

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**


The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

00000043569  


Immigration Judge: Burns, John 01/06/2023

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 01/06/2023 By:Friedman, Michael , Court Staff

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of  (b)(6) - A  (b)(6)

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss Proceedings without prejudice, it is  
HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be: X granted, \_\_\_\_\_ denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department of Homeland Security, in its sole discretion, may commence new proceedings at any time, and that no provision herein, including any provision concerning reopening of proceedings, shall operate to limit the Department's right to commence new proceedings.

6/6/22  
Date

John Burns  
HON. JOHN BURNS  
Immigration Judge

**Certificate of Service**

The document was served by:  Mail,  Personal Service  
To:  Alien,  Alien c/o Custodial Officer,  Alien's Attny/Rep,  DHS

Date: 6/6/2022 By: Court Staff: BCJ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

### A-Number

A

(b)(6)

## **ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the Respondent's Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

[X] GRANTED.

[ ]DENIED, because: \_\_\_\_\_

Date:

Immigration Judge Deborah Klahr

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To:  Alien;  Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

By:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

A-Number: A (b)(6)


**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the Respondent's Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

GRANTED.

DENIED, because: \_\_\_\_\_

9/28/22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
John Burns

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: E - Service

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 9/29/22

By: MDF

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the Respondent's Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

**GRANTED.**

**DENIED**, because: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

1/13/2022

Date

  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by:  Mail;  Personal Service;  Other: Electronic

To:  Alien;  Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 1/16/2022

By: RG



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/31/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

J  
John  
00000043574  
B  
Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/31/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 11/02/2022 By: Garcia-Carr, Glenda , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/17/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

00000043575

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/17/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 11/17/2022 By:Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

12/22/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Vietnam, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043576  


Immigration Judge: Burns, John 12/22/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 12/23/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/03/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice

Denied

Further explanation:

as requested on the record on 10/3/2022

IT IS SO ORDERED.

*John Evans*

00000043577  
**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

12/13/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**


The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 12/13/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 12/13/2022 By:Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/03/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043579  
J. Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value=" (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value=" (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value=" (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value=" (b)(6)"/>

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/03/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043580  
J. Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/03/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043581  
J. Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) | A-Number (b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6) (b)(6)  
(b)(6) (b)(6)

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **respondent's Motion to Terminate Without Prejudice**, it is  
HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

GRANTED.

DENIED, because: \_\_\_\_\_

5/26/22

Date

John Bres

Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by:  M Mail;  P Personal Service;  O Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To:  Alien;  Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 5/26/2022

By: BCJ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)	

File Nos.

(b)(6)
(b)(6)
(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

**GRANTED.**

[  ] **DENIED** because: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6/15/22  
Date

John Burns  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [  ] Alien; [  ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 6/15/22

By: BG



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala , or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043584

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoona , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/07/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**


The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/07/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name  | A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 11/07/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/07/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**


The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/07/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  A

Riders:

Date: 11/07/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
IMMIGRATION COURT  
NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:

(b)(6)

File No. A (b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

**GRANTED.**

**DENIED** because: \_\_\_\_\_

6/22/22  
Date

John Burns  
John Burns  
Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by:  M Mail;  P Personal Service;  O Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To:  Alien;  Alien c/o Custodial Officer;  Alien's Atty/Rep.;  DHS

Date: 6/22/22

By: BSJ



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

01/03/2023

## ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

- This is a summary of the oral decision entered on 09/21/2022. This memorandum is solely for the convenience of the parties. The oral or written Findings, Decision, and Orders is the official opinion in this case.
- Both parties waived the issuance of a formal oral decision in this proceeding.

### I. Removability

The court found Respondent  removable  inadmissible under the following Section(s) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA): 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(l) and 212(a)(6)(A)(i)

### II. Applications for Relief

Respondent's application for:

A. Asylum/Withholding/Convention Against Torture

Asylum was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

Withholding of Removal under the INA was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

Withholding of Removal under the Convention Against Torture was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum after notice of the consequences.

B. Cancellation of Removal

Cancellation of Removal for Lawful Permanent Residents under INA § 240A(a) was  
 granted  denied  withdrawn.

Cancellation of Removal for Nonpermanent Residents under INA § 240A(b)(1) was

granted  denied  withdrawn.

Special Rule Cancellation of Removal under <sup>00000043688</sup> INA § 240A(b)(2) was  
 granted  denied  withdrawn.

**C. Waiver**

A waiver under INA § \_\_\_\_\_ was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

**D. Adjustment of Status**

Adjustment of Status under INA § \_\_\_\_\_ was  granted  denied  withdrawn.

**E. Other**

**III. Voluntary Departure**

Respondent's application for  pre-conclusion  post-conclusion voluntary departure was  
 denied  granted

Further information regarding voluntary departure is attached.

Respondent shall depart the United States without expense to the Government by

[Redacted]

Respondent shall post a departure bond in the amount of [Redacted] with the DHS on or before

[Redacted]

**IV. Removal**

Respondent was ordered removed to Togo.

In the alternative, Respondent was ordered removed to


**V. Other**

Proceedings were  dismissed  terminated  administratively closed.

Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for failure to appear as ordered.

Respondent's status was rescinded under INA § 246.

Other:



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 01/03/2023

Appeal: Department of Homeland Security:  waived  reserved  
Respondent:  waived  reserved

Appeal Due: 10/21/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 01/03/2023 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

11/16/2022

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**


The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.



00000043589

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 11/17/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to El Salvador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043590  
JL

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) | A-Number (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoon , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

04/27/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043591  
JGhns BURNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 04/27/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Gillis, Brittney , Court Staff

Date: 04/27/2022



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to El Salvador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/03/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

John  
00000043593

Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/19/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

JB  
00000043594

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name  (b)(6) | A-Number :  (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043595  
VB

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoon , Court Staff

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
 EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
 OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE  
 NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:	NON-DETAINED		
(b)(6)	File No:	A	(b)(6)
Respondent,			
In Removal Proceedings			

**ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE**

Upon consideration of the **Joint Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice**, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be:

**GRANTED.**

**DENIED** because: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

7/26/22  
 Date

John Burns  
 Hon. John Burns  
 Immigration Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Personal Service; [ O ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [ E ] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [ D ] DHS

Date: 7/26/2022

By: PBH



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043597  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  (b)(6) | A-Number :  (b)(6)

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted"/> (b)(6)	<input type="text" value="Redacted"/> (b)(6)
<input type="text" value="Redacted"/> (b)(6)	<input type="text" value="Redacted"/> (b)(6)

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043598  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043599  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/19/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE


Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.



00000043600

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/20/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

J  
B  
00000043601

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoong , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

04/27/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043602  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 04/27/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge

Date: 04/27/2022



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/19/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043603

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) | A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

04/27/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Senegal, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043604

John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 04/27/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Gillis, Brittney , Court Staff

Date: 04/27/2022



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

10/03/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Cameroon, or in the alternative to Ghana, on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

John Burns  
00000043605

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/19/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Cuba, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

JB  
00006043606

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043607  
J B

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyo , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/19/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala , or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043608  
B

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) A-Number (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Senegal , or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043609

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoon , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/19/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Colombia , or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

V 00000043610

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  A-Number

Riders:

Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

09/13/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043611  
J

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ P ] DHS

Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoon , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

01/06/2023

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the motion to the non-moving party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an opportunity to respond. The motion is  opposed  unopposed.

After considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to dismiss is:

Granted without prejudice  
 Denied

Further explanation:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

00000043612  
J B

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 01/06/2023

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  A-Number :

Riders:

Date: 01/06/2023 By:Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)
--------

To:

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)
--------

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

07/18/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043613

John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 07/18/2022

**Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff

Date: 07/21/2022



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043614  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name  | A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043615  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name  A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)	(b)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043616  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name  | A-Number

Riders:

<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="Redacted (b)(6)"/>

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ghana, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043617  
J. Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)

Riders:

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

(b)(6)

To:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

A-Number:

(b)(6)

Riders:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

In Removal Proceedings

Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security

Date:

08/16/2022

### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER:** Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043618  
John Burns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

Respondent Name :  | A-Number :

Riders:

<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>
<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>	<input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami , Court Staff